





Current week



Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus


To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
(Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 991–999 participants

Perceived level of information


How well do you feel informed about the following aspects of the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not well informed at all' to 5 'very well informed')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 978–997 participants

Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')




Figures given in percentages
Basis: 978 participants

Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate')




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 958–998 participants

Current protective measures of the participants


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)



Perceived controllability of the risk of infection


How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Behaviour of others

When dealing with other people, how important is it to you that they take measures to prevent a transmission of the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not important at all' to 5 'very important')




Figures given in percentages
Basis: 999 participants

Perceived probability of an infection


How high or low do you estimate the probability of currently being infected with the novel coronavirus at the following locations?

(Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high')




Figures given in percentages
Basis: 947–1.001 participants

Over time



Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus


To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 977–1.035 participants


Perceived level of information

How well do you feel informed about the following aspects of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'not well informed at all' to 5 'very well informed')



Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')




Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May 2020: 480–502 participants

Basis from Jun 2020: 951–1.019 participants

Appropriateness of the measures for containment I

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'




^a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time
^b Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants
 Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1.031 participants

Appropriateness of the measures for containment II

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'




^a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time
^b Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants
 Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1.031 participants

Current protective measures of the participants I


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 960–1.016 participants

Current protective measures of the participants II

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)




Figures given in percentages
Basis: 960–1.016 participants

Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?


(Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May 2020: 492–514 participants
 Basis from Jun 2020: 971–1.017 participants

Behaviour of others

When dealing with other people, how important is it to you that they take measures to prevent a transmission of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'not important at all' to 5 'very important')




Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of currently being infected with the novel coronavirus at the following locations?
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – **Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)**




Age groups



Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus


To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)



Perceived level of information

How well do you feel informed about the following aspects of the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 'not well informed at all' to 5 'very well informed') – **Shown: response category '(very) well' (values 4 + 5)**



Figures given in percentages

Basis: 308–346 participants in corresponding age group


Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



Appropriateness of the measures for containment


How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 299–347 participants in corresponding age group

Current protective measures of the participants


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 319–337 participants in corresponding age group

Perceived controllability of the risk of infection


How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 318–342 participants in corresponding age group


Behaviour of others

When dealing with other people, how important is it to you that they take measures to prevent a transmission of the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not important at all' to 5 'very important')



Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of currently being infected with the novel coronavirus at the following locations?
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – **Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)**



Dates and sample sizes of the survey

24 Mar	510 participants	2020	18–19 Aug	1.033 participants	2020	16–17 Mar	1.012 participants	2021	12–13 Oct	1.002 participants	2021
31 Mar	500 participants		01–02 Sep	1.013 participants		30–31 Mar	1.008 participants		26–27 Oct	1.003 participants	
07 Apr	510 participants		15–16 Sep	1.026 participants		13–14 Apr	1.007 participants		09–10 Nov	1.003 participants	
14 Apr	515 participants		29–30 Sep	1.012 participants		27–28 Apr	1.024 participants		23–24 Nov	1.007 participants	
21 Apr	505 participants		13–14 Oct	1.015 participants		11–12 May	1.023 participants		07–08 Dec	995 participants	
28 Apr	503 participants		27–28 Oct	1.006 participants		25–26 May	1.006 participants		21–22 Dec	1.005 participants	
05 May	504 participants		10–11 Nov	1.009 participants		08–09 Jun	1.010 participants		04–05 Jan	1.001 participants	
12 May	510 participants		24–25 Nov	1.018 participants		22–23 Jun	1.005 participants		18–19 Jan	1.011 participants	
19 May	509 participants		08–09 Dec	1.004 participants		06–08 Jul	1.002 participants		01–02 Feb	1.014 participants	
26 May	510 participants		17–18 Dec	1.010 participants		20–21 Jul	1.012 participants		15–16 Feb	1.005 participants	
09–10 Jun	1.015 participants	05–06 Jan	1.017 participants	2021	03–04 Aug	1.007 participants	2022	01–02 Mar	1.002 participants		
23–24 Jun	1.037 participants	19–20 Jan	1.018 participants		17–18 Aug	1.010 participants					
07–08 Jul	1.011 participants	02–03 Feb	1.004 participants		31 Aug – 01 Sep	1.004 participants					
21–22 Jul	1.037 participants	16–17 Feb	997 participants		14–15 Sep	1.007 participants					
04–06 Aug	1.024 participants	02–03 Mar	1.014 participants		28–29 Sep	1.022 participants					

How were the data collected?

Statistical population:	German-speaking population ages 14 years and over in private households in the Federal Republic of Germany
Sampling:	Samples drawn at random from land line and mobile telephone numbers which can also include telephone numbers not listed in directories (in line with standards set by the Association of German Market Research Institutes – ADM)
Data weighting:	Data was weighted according to gender, education, age, employment, size of city and German federal state to guarantee representativeness
Method:	Telephone interview (CATI omnibus survey, Dual Frame)
Presentation of results:	All figures given in percentages, rounding differences are possible, only valid responses were included (response option ‘don’t know’ was excluded from all analyses)
Conducted by:	Kantar GmbH

About the BfR

Do nanoparticles promote the occurrence of allergies? Does apple juice contain too much aluminium? The German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, or BfR for short, is responsible for answering questions on all aspects of the health assessment of foods and feeds, consumer products and chemicals. Through its work, it makes a decisive contribution towards ensuring that food, products and the use of chemicals have become safer in Germany.

The Institute's main tasks comprise the assessment of existing health risks and identification of new ones, the development of recommendations to limit risks and the transparent communication of this process. This work results in the scientific advice given to political decision makers. To help with the strategic alignment of its risk communication, the BfR conducts its own research in the field of risk perception. The Institute is independent in its scientific assessments, research and communication. The BfR belongs to the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL).

 *More information at: www.bfr.bund.de/en*

COVID-19/coronavirus:

> [A-Z Index](#) > [C](#) > [COVID-19/corona](#)

Imprint

BfR-Corona-Monitor | 1–2 March 2022

Publisher: German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)
Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10
10589 Berlin
bfr@bfr.bund.de
www.bfr.bund.de/en

Photo: [mathisworks/GettyImages](#)

Use of the results published here is possible with mention of the source
'BfR-Corona-Monitor | 1–2 March 2022'.

This text version is a translation of the original German text, which is the only legally binding version.

German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)

Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10

10589 Berlin

GERMANY

Phone +49 30 18412-0

Fax +49 30 18412-99099

bfr@bfr.bund.de

www.bfr.bund.de/en



German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment